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1. At various places throughout the documents, version 1 uses the terms “should” or “should, when feasible,” and version 2 used the terms “shall” or “shall, when feasible.”

Per Department General Order 3.02, Terms and Definitions, “should” means “permissive, but recommended,” and “shall” means “mandatory.”

1. Throughout the documents, version 1 uses the term “imminent,” and version 2 used the term “immediate.”
2. At various places throughout the documents, version 1 uses the term “reasonable,” and version two uses the term “minimal.”
3. The opening paragraph in version 1 differs from the opening paragraph in version 2.
4. Section I, D. Proportionality:

The definition of proportionality in version 1 is different than the definition of proportionality in version 2.

1. Section II, B:

Version 1 defines the term “imminent threat,” and version 2 defines the term “immediate threat.”

1. Section III, B. 3:

This list of other factors that may determine reasonableness in version 1 differs from the list of other factors that may determine reasonableness in version 2.

1. Section IV, C:

In version 1, there are 2 explanatory items that delineate when an officer may use lethal force. In version 2, there are 3explanatory items that delineate when an officer may use lethal force.

1. Section V, A.:

In version 2, the Carotid Restraint is prohibited. In version 1, the Carotid Restraint is an allowable force option and is described in Section V, G.