The San Francisco Police Department possesses a "vicious rapists [sic] perpetrated upon countless women in San Francisco? No. Was it the proliferation of prostitutes in San Francisco? No. Was it the muggings of our elderly citizens in the Tenderloin? No. Was it the vacuum in leadership", one officer told me the other day. I hear the same kinds of comments from scores of other officers both in patrol and at the Hall of Justice. These officers believe that the present Chief and the San Francisco Police Department is more concerned with placating alternative life-style groups and the San Francisco Bar Association than mounting an aggressive campaign against the criminal element in this town. The actions of the Police Commission and Chief Charles Gain are indicative of the law enforcement philosophy of George Moscone. What earth-shattering issue brought members of the Police Commission to testify before the Board of Supervisors recently? Was it the muggings of our elderly citizens in the Tenderloin? No. Was it the vicious rapes perpetrated upon countless women in San Francisco? No. Was it the proliferation of prostitutes in the Hayes Valley after complaints from the poor residents in that area? NO. The great issue that stirred the Moscone Police Commission was the Bar Association's proposal for civic-litigation of the police internal affairs bureau. A strange priority for a Commission charged with the responsibility of running our police department surfaced before the Supervisors. Our Commission has spent hour after hour on two issues: civic-litigation of the police complaint process and adoption of White Panther guidelines for witnessing police conduct. Why doesn't Mayor Moscone fire the present Police Commission and Chief after the past eighteen months of conduct by these six people? One answer remains for all—because he supports their activities in running the police department.

Moscone himself spends his time placating White Panthers in his official capacity. Crime fighter George wrote a lengthy letter to the Author Authority pleading for the release of two panthers who were convicted of shooting at two San Francisco police officers. Where are the priorities in the leadership of the San Francisco Police Department? When the Mayor's political career is ended, a new administration will make a clean sweep. At August 2, 1977 comes closer, the citizens of San Francisco will have to make a choice. We pray that they make the correct one—for our benefit, but more importantly for the victims of crime.
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The May meeting was called to order by President Mark Hurley on Friday, May 13, 1977, at 2:00 P.M. in the Traffic Bureau Assembly Room. Hall of Justice, with a sufficient number of members present to constitute a quorum.

Treasurer Barney Becker reported an unusual amount of delinquents due to the number of people leaving the force through retirements or resignations.

Barney reported the following three deaths during the past month:

THOMAS CHORPE: Born in 1928. Tom entered the Department in 1949 at age 21. He served at Co. B and F for 5 years each. transferred to the Warrant Detail in 1958. He served there until his disability retirement in 1975. Tom was retired on a heart disability and it was this that caused his death on April 29, 1977 at age 49.

EDWARD J. O'BRIEN: Born in 1986 he was 31 years of age when he joined the Department in 1927. Worked at Co. M (old Bayview Station). Co. A. Co. E. The last 15 years Ed was at the Ingleside Station from where he retired in 1959 on a disability. Ed was 81 at the time of his death.

LOUIS OLIVIER: Born in 1892, he entered the Department at age 30 in 1922. He worked at Co. L (Western Addition Station). Co. F and for his last 20 years was at Co. E. Lou retired in 1957 on service at age 65. He passed away in April of this year at the age of 74.

The Secretary reported the following donations:

Joseph I. Wilson one of our most faithful contributors.

The Trustees reported that the Hibernia Bank had recommended the purchase of three common stocks due to a favorable recommendation. Mr. Wayne Lewis gave the opening prayer.

Jim Crowley thanked everyone for coming and explained our organization to those who had not previously attended. Jim Higgins reminded our group of the power of becoming the Pharao of Egypt at 40 years of age and then from 40 to 80 years of age as a lonely shepherd. However, at 80 years of age, God spoke to Moses and he became the leader of his people. At any time in our life, God can and does speak to us. All we have to do is ask God to use us. We must approach God in bare, naked faith, trusting that God will accept us as we are, and we will come into God's presence in faith. Moses Exodus Chapter 3 brought forth three signs that allowed us to use our lives. all we have to do is: 1. reach to God in faith 2. get hold of your life with God's help 3. recognize that the blood we shed for God is for our salvation.

Jim Crowley asked for additional prayer requests to which our membership responded.

Jim Crowley reminded those present that anyone seeking the help, desiring additional prayers, could meet with Pastor Stan Way, Jim Crowley and others for special individual prayers.

Andrew Kristensen, Secretary
On Saturday, April 17th, Officer George Bonanno of Ingleside Station, Company H, received the Police Loyalty Award which was presented and conducted by the Veterans of Foreign Wars on Loyalty Observance Day.

The ceremonies took place at the Golden Gate Park Concourse and were attended by representatives of the Armed Forces, State, County and City, members of the San Francisco Police and Fire Departments, as well as many other dignitaries.

Officer George Bonanno has been a member of the San Francisco police Department for 22 years, serving at Ingleside Station. George is also a life-time resident of the Ingleside Police District, and it is loved and respected by the community in which he serves. Officer Bonanno was selected for the Loyalty Award as he best represents the true image of a police officer’s dedication to duty and loyalty to the San Francisco Police Department and the entire San Francisco Community.

President’s Corner
BY JERRY CROWLEY
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The San Francisco Police Officers Association, comprising 2,400 active and retired officers, strongly endorses YES ON B.

Majority vote and balance of power within the political structure are essential if San Francisco government is to represent all the people.

San Francisco has become the breeding ground for well-organized extremist groups with a single common bond: to seize and control the legislative branch of city government.

In 1975 the San Francisco COMMUNITY CONGRESS adopted a 40-page people’s program for change.

Who are these people and what are these changes? And where does the money come from to support them?

Included among the members who endorsed the PROGRAM FOR CHANGE were the San Quentin Six Defense Committee, United Prisoners Union, Socialist Coalition, Delaney Street Foundation, John Maher, director of Delaney Street, proclaimed in his biography by Grover Sales that he would take over city government within ten years—and that he had 600 fanatical workers to help him.

The money to support them comes, in many cases, from tax-exempt non-profit organizations who aren’t compelled to report their sources.

Among radical changes proposed is the right of prisoners to control their destinies within the confines of prison, removing the authority now invested in criminal justice administrators.

San Francisco can tolerate groups that reflect every shade of political thought. But it will not survive domination by extremist groups who interpose themselves between the public and its protectors.

Over the past year the tragedy and terror unleashed upon the people—and their elected representatives—has been unparalleled in the city’s history.

The VOTER is the only hope to restore balance to our democratic form of government. VOTE YES ON B TO REQUIRE ALL CANDIDATES TO WIN BY MAJORITY.

YES ON B MAKES CERTAIN THAT NO EXTREMIST GROUP—RIGHT OR LEFT—MAY DOMINATE YOUR GOVERNMENT.

F E D E R A L L I T I G A T I O N

by Jack Ballentine

Temporary Appointments to be heard in State Court on June 27th.

Steven Solomon, Association attorney, will carry the fight against temporary appointments to the rank of Sergeant in State Court on June 27, 1977.

This initial hearing will decide whether the State Court shall maintain jurisdiction of the case or not. All members are requested to attend.

A Bulletin will be issued when the time and court room is known. If we are successful, a trial will immediately begin in State Court.

California will be a major beneficiary of the omnibus judgeship bill which the Senate passed the last week of May. Senator Alan Cranston (D-Calif.) stated.

He said 10 more judges will be added to the 9th Circuit Court, which includes California, as a result of his amendment to the bill (S.11).

And California will also be making a “judicial” that now occur, federal district judges, a larger increase than any other state, he pointed out.

One of those seven new district judges will be assigned to San Francisco despite the opposition of staff members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Cranston disclosed.

He said Californians will benefit most from the increase in appellate judges since 65% of the cases filed in the 9th Circuit originates in California.

The new judges will be of enormous help in reducing a huge backlog of California cases and will help speed the rendering of justice in the state,” Cranston said.

He deplored the “inordinate delays of justice” that now occur, with delays of up to two years being “not unusual.”

As originally drafted by the Senate Judiciary Committee, the omnibus judgeship bill was limited only to increasing the number of federal district judges nationwide. It was expanded to include circuit court judges at the urgent request of Senator Cranston.

Cranston, who is Senate Majority Whip, proposed an amendment to the bill incorporating an increase in circuit judges recommended by the Judicial Conference of federal judges.

Cranston, who is not a member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, credited freshmen Senator Dennis DeConcini (D., Ariz.), with persuading the Committee to accept Cranston’s amendment.

Cranston called the bill a key role in getting the Committee to reject a staff recommendation against an additional federal district judge for San Francisco.

DeConcini, who last November won the Senate seat formerly held by Republican Paul Fannin who retired, succeeded 9th Circuit’s Democratic representative on the Judiciary Committee.

Cranston called DeConcini “a hard and skillful worker.” His arrival in the Senate marks the beginning of a new era of cooperation between California and Arizona that will benefit both our states,” he said.

The Senate-passed bill, which now goes to the House for action, creates 111 new federal district judges nationwide.

Besides the new district judge for San Francisco (the northern district), the bill authorizes three more for Sacramento (eastern district), one more for Los Angeles (central district) and two more for San Diego (southern district).

San Francisco presently has 11 district judges: Sacramento, 3; Los Angeles, 16, and San Diego, 6.

The additions bring California’s total to 42, second only to New York’s 43.

In addition, this bill would create 35 additional circuit court judgeships nationwide, including 10 for the 9th Circuit which, in addition to California, oversees eight other Western states plus Guam.

Cranston said 2,731 appeals were filed with the 9th Circuit Court, one of the busiest in the nation, last year. “That was nearly 2% more than the书记 in 1968 and the number of judges—13—is not changed in all that time,” he said.

He said the court ended 1976 with a backlog of 2,636 cases.

**FEDERAL LITIGATION**
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We are truly sorry about the continued delays in getting the new brochure to you. It was held up by contractual negotiations and now the delay is caused by their printer.

As of this week (June 21-27) we are told the brochures will be in our hands by June 22. Our mailing house will address them and you should have them by the first week in July. Their printing was delayed on the presses and so far after that for those across the bridges.

If you are currently a member of Plan II and have no changes in your status, you will not have to submit new application and payroll cards. If there is a change, then yes, fill out the new application, etc.

Everybody who's anybody, that is, had July 30, 1977 (signed application, signed payroll deduction card, and a check to cover until payroll deductions take over) will be eligible or legal for their plan. Plan II, that is. This gives us time to process your material, get PDHF ID cards printed for you and mailed to you (no card, no service).

On the other hand, if you wait past July 30, then you must get your application, etc., back to us before August 30th in order to be eligible on October 1, 1977.

Cheat I hope so.

Plan I with or without dependents has been dropped.

The only dental plan being offered is the complete preventive — restorative plan. Please order your brochure and enclosed material carefully and make a decision before July 30 or August 30, as the case may be. This dental plan is another benefit of SFPOA membership.

— by Gale W. Wright

Several members have complained that their higher salaries only push them further away from the inflated cost of living and higher taxes. They wanted the Association to find a solution.

The Insurance Committee have looked into it and discussed the problem with Ray Peterson, President of the Healy Insurance Agency.

The article on this page, DEFERRED INTEREST SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT PLAN, by Richard D. Dixon, Ph.D., is our answer to inflated earnings, cost of living and taxes. We hope you will read Dr. Dixon’s article and apply this valuable pre-tax dollars savings to your own retirement welfare.

* DEFERRED INTEREST SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT PLAN *

by Richard D. Dixon, Ph.D.

Is a comfortable dignified retirement your goal? Few achieve it! The reasons are many and although we live in prosperous times, most of us depend on out-dated rules of finance to plan for our future. It has usually made a basic effort to consider the financial problems we see before us each day with an eye to putting some order into what may have been a modified form of chaos.

Because few men are well versed in the areas of retirement planning our Federal Government passed the Social Security Act 35 years ago with the concept to provide a base on which people could build for retirement income. It was not intended then, and it is not intended now to provide for total retirement income as only those who are tragically attempting it today know? Pension plans are great and provide the law enforcement employee with a certain security; however, one must consider that these methods of saving for dignified retirement may also guarantee a reduced standard of living as you grow older and the cost of those golden years will rise.

Spending is more fun with the development of a philosophy of planned spending; its real objective is that there should be no hospitalization and plans also concerned with saving.

Saving may be a difficult and challenging process to many people, but need not be so with the proper training. Even modest or even great fortunes tell us that it is the only way to achieve financial independence. Andrew Carnegie, as frequently quoted, stated it was, and, each time he replied: "Accumulate in savings at least one year’s salary as you can and then save 10 percent of all you earn the rest of your life.”

How few of us consider what the savings are from a review of frequently published statistics: Only 5 men out of 100 become financially independent by age 65; and only one out of the 100 could be classified as wealthy. About 54 will be dependent on state, local, or federal aid. The remaining 46 will be able to save 10 percent of all you earn the rest of your life. But suppose the well runs dry later than expected. Is the City doing anything to rectify the dangers? NO! And, they aren’t until someone is killed. Mark my words.

...Police work is stressed filled, divorce, alcoholic, and suicidal rates are high. Yet the Mayor, Supervisors and Police Administrators continually play political games with the tragic incidents of officers that have committed suicide. The article which appeared in California Living (on May 22) which detailed the society’s “alcoholic program” was such a farce in today’s life; it would probably take three editions of this newspaper. But then the article should really be taken for what it was. A political smoke screen to cover the fact that stress within the police department is under control...
DAY-SWING - MID WATCHES
ROTATED EVERY SIX WEEKS

Part One of the Hidden Agenda of
THE COUNCIL OF CHIEFS
C. Cain - K. Mullen - C. DeAmicas
D. Taylor - M. Amoroso
A. Bruneman

SUPERVISING CAPT. BRUNEMAN
threatens TRANSFER! of Sergeants
& Patrolmen of Southern Station
unless they violate Ticket Quota
prohibition of STATE LAW!

Part Two of the Hidden Agenda of
THE COUNCIL OF CHIEFS
C. Cain - K. Mullen - C. DeAmicas
D. Taylor - M. Amoroso
A. Bruneman

ASSOCIATION VICTORY IN COURT
Appellate Court strikes down Loyalty Oath
Ordinance as unconstitutional.
SIGGINS-GAIN
Stopped from violating POLICE OFFICERS
CIVIL RIGHTS

STATION OFFICERS
to supervise police officers...
STATION OFFICERS
to be in charge of stations...

DAILY BULLETINS TO BE ISSUED
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
San Francisco Police Officers' Association
GENERAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING
June 7th - 7 P.M.
Hot Line 861-5062

MOSCONE WAR ON CRIME
1) Moscone cuts 130 Police from budget.
INSTEAD
2) Hires federally funded campaign workers
through Citizen Safety Project.
3) Headquarters of project at GLIDE
MEMORIAL under CHIEF GAIN'S direct-
tion.

POLICY MAKING SFPD
1976-77
George Moscone
Delancy Street
Glide Memorial
Peoples Temple
White Panther Party
Bar Association
Coyote
Judith Ciani
Richard Siggins
Charlies Gain
SFPD
DAILY BULLETINS TO BE ISSUED
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
San Francisco Police Officers' Association
Hot Line 861-5062

GAIN DENIES
1ST AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF
POLICE OFFICERS after
Glide Memorial
and
Peoples Temple
complain about POA Action Bulletins

These Bulletins were issued so our members
could learn about the "Hidden Agenda" of the
Council of Chiefs, the
Police Commission and the
several local
organizations which are
heard by the Ad-
ministration.
**ELECTORAL REFORM:** Confronting the erosion of San Francisco

There has always been in San Francisco a political balance of power, despite the fact that San Francisco is predominantly a Democratic city. But since the city's political balance of power is eroding rapidly, the people will soon be left without the freedom to make valid political choices unless the city's political system undergoes dramatic change.

**District Elections**

Two major problems exist with district elections under the rules of Proposition T. The first is that no matter who wins, a candidate getting only 30 per cent of the vote—perhaps even 20 per cent or less—could win a supervisor's seat.

Take a look, for example, at District 7, which encompasses the Tenderloin, Potrero Hill and Bayview-Hunters Point. Of the 67,571 persons living in this district, only 30,686 are registered voters—the lowest voter registration of all the districts. During the 1975 supervisorial election, 73 per cent of the city's registered voters went to the polls. If 73 per cent were to vote in District 7 supervisorial election, a total of 15,102 people would cast ballots.

Conversely, a candidate getting 30 votes—30 per cent—or even 3,020 votes—20 per cent—win an election in this district?

Oh yes.

Supervisors have already filed their "intentions" to run for supervisor in District 7, although that doesn't mean they will necessarily run. If six or more candidates get on the ballot, it is impossible under this system. If this method prevails, the voters' real fight is whether to make key changes in San Francisco's political system.

**The SUPERVISORS**

The voters on August 2nd will decide upon one of the three methods for electing supervisors in November. (1) District Elections. Elections in each of 11 districts in the city, as stipulated in Proposition T which won last November. A majority vote is not required, in fact, it is impossible. The only seats that can be won under this method prevail, voters in November would elect five supervisors, that being the number of terms that expire this year. And the five winners would be the five highest vote getters.

(2) At-Large Citywide Elections. Citywide election of candidates who are not required to live in or represent a specific neighborhood. This is the current system which Proposition T replaced and which repealer want restored. No majority vote is required; in fact, it is impossible. If the mayor and his political allies want one, they can have it, although Mayor Moscone is claiming that is the choice the electorate makes when it votes on the Proposition in the August 2nd special election.

True, Proposition B would end Moscone's mayoral term at its two-year midpoint. But the voters' real choice is whether to make key changes in San Francisco's electoral system so that no matter what a mayor's political orientation is, he or she does not give vested interests a chance to sew up the city.

Fighting for his political life, Moscone is attacking Proposition B as a vehicle for political revenge by the supervisor who lost a squeaker to Moscone in the mayoral race a year and a half ago.

But the reforms that the proposition proposes tell a different story, one that the mayor and his political allies would like to be left unsaid.

A brief look at the political picture during former Mayor John Albert's administration provides a necessary frame of reference for questioning the status of the city's political balance of power today.

When Alioto took power in 1968, the Democratic party had firm control of the city's political machinery. But despite this Democratic party control, the Democrats were not monolithic.

On the one hand were the Democrats aligned with Congressmen Phillip Burton. A line-up that included then State Senator George Moscone and Assemblyman Willie Brown. On the other hand were the Democrats aligned with A. Alito, who didn't concede anything to the Burton faction.

In fact, the mayor at one point made an unusual declaration of independence and political power by getting his Latino appointment to the Board of Supervisors. Robert Gonzalez, to oppose Burton in a Democratic primary fight for his solidly entrenched seat.

No one, of course, had expected Gonzales to succeed. His challenge even appeared to be an absurd one, but he decided to run anyway. His bid put Democrats quickly to notice to respect a political balance of power many had trouble recognizing.

For example, in the black community Willie Brown was the candidate endorsed by the Alioto group. But finding himself beaten twice and again by the mayor, who exerted his power in the black community through political and municipal appointments, Brown and his followers had to give way to Alioto, though reluctantly.

When in 1974 Congressman William Mailliard announced that he was a Nixon supporter and political power broker, the Organization of American States and Congressman Burton's brother John won that congressional seat for the Democrats. The power of the Burton in the city increased tremendously, but not to the point where he could control San Francisco's city hall with Alioto at the helm.

In fact, that double Democratic voice in San Francisco, a liberal and a moderate one, stood out conspicuously in the 1974 campaign for the Democratic gubernatorial nomination when Alito ran un-successfully in the primary against Governor Brown and Assemblyman Bob Moretti, the Assembly Speaker supported for governor by Assemblyman Brown.

But when Mayor Moscone took office in 1975, only a single political voice prevailed. And the prospects for any challenging voice to rise up effectively are diminishing. Such a political situation is unhealthy, and the current electoral system is designed to keep it unhealthy.

Here, briefly, is the way the political balance of power looks today:

* Moscone appointed former Supervisor Roger Boas as Chief Administrative Officer, a lifetime appointment.

* Supervisor Robert Mendelsohn nominated by President Carter to be undersecretary in the Interior Department.

* Senate Attorney General and Congressman Phillip Burton pushed for the Mendelsohn Interior nomination. Burton's position is very clear: (1) With Mendelsohn going to Washington, Moscone would have his first congressional appointment on the Board of Supervisors, and (2) Mendelsohn was the only one of the 11 member Board of Supervisors who endorsed district elections for supervisor last November—a measure strongly pushed by the Burtons and Brown as a means of controlling all of city hall.

* Although Moscone didn't publicly endorse Proposition T, the district elections measure, Mayor Moscone indicated that his administration worked for it. Since its passage, the people behind district elections have found a comfortable home in Moscone's city hall.

No surprise, of course, with the Burtons and Brown behind the scenes.

But the disturbing point is:* With district elections a candidate getting as little as 20 or 30 per cent of the vote could win a seat on the Board of Supervisors, making it possible for a strongly vested interest to control the legislative branch of city government.

* With the Community Congress setting up the "right" platform for district candidates, new vested interest is aiming to assume power in the city, with the approval of Moscone, the Burtons and Brown.

That vested interest is radicalism, with such ideas as rent control, unionization of prisoners and the creation of publicly-owned industries financed by government among the key points in the Congress program for change in San Francisco.

With the repeal of district elections, Proposition A. also on the August ballot, an overriding issue appears to be this one between new system and the old system of electing supervisors.

But what is being overlooked by repealers is that the old system they want restored has drawbacks which make it as unacceptable as the district elections. Thus the reason for including in Proposition B a new approach: citywide elections for district candidates who must win a seat on the Board of Supervisors by majority vote.

Despite all those points, a cloud hovers over Proposition B.

The whole question of a majority vote in the proposition—a reform for the election of the sheriff, the district attorney and the supervisors—has gone no attention. The media focus has been on Moscone's claim that the proposition is really a recall initiative, with the mayor effectively using that cloud to discuss the merits of electoral reform in San Francisco.
and why you should

**CITYWIDE ELECTION OF SUPERVISORS BY MAJORITY VOTE**

This method of electing supervisors, proposed in Proposition B, overcomes the flaws in (i) district elections and in (ii) citywide at-large election of supervisors.

- Candidates under this method have to win a separate run-off election by a majority vote, that is, 50 per cent of the vote plus one.
- For the first time in a citywide election for supervisors, candidates would be facing specific candidates. In this case, from each of the 11 supervisorial districts. But the voters regain the right to vote for 11 supervisors rather than just one supervisor under district elections.
- District candidates under this system would be forced to take strong stands on district issues and city issues. The candidates would have to confront each other on district issues to prove they are qualified to represent the district; at the same time, they would have to confront city issues with the mayor's office and leaders of the system assuming the city's political leadership.

This, in fact, is the only supervisorial election method which would make the election a true exercise in direct democracy. For what prevails here are issues and without any limitations on what is or what is not relevant.

Through a campaign with the candidate would have to raise more money for a citywide election than for a district election. The money used in this citywide election of supervisors would be distributed by majority vote would be forced to take part in large part through candidate's view of issues as well as promote name recognition.

With all these factors at work, a small, well-organized force can force a stiffer, though truer test of its political ideas and programs.

**THE MAYOR, SHERIFF AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

The most controversial part of Proposition B calls for cutting in half the four-year term of the mayor, sheriff and district attorneys. While Mayor Moscone has called the proposition a "recalibrating" measure, the proposition is really a charter reform that would require the sheriff, district attorney and the mayor to be elected by a majority vote instead of a plurality.

Why then was the mayor, who is the only city official who can run office now by majority vote, included in the proposition?

SinceMoscone supported Proposition T, which passed last November by a narrow majority and allies worked for the district election measure which cuts in half the terms of six supervisors. Supervisor Barbagelata decided it was only fair for the mayor to be included in the proposition as the six supervisors he helped oust from office.

Along with the sheriff and district attorney, they were all elected as part of a political force working for the district elections.

If Proposition T survives the repeal, all 11 supervisorial seats will be up for election under the district electoral system. With the offices of city attorney and treasurer also to be filled in the November vote, it seems logical to have election of the 16 top city officials rather than just 13.

(Continued on Pg. 8)
AT-LARGE CITYWIDE ELECTIONS

The current method of electing supervisors, which Proposition A seeks to replace, is far from a flawless system. In fact, its flaws make this method as faulty or even more faulty than the district elections for supervisors.

In the past, candidates running for supervisor never ran against anyone specifically. In a field of, say, 20 supervisory candidates, no one ever laid claim on three or five or ten seats or 20 seats or renegades won. Since there weren't a set number of candidates vying for a specific seat, campaigns hardly touched on issues. The huge sums of money they raised by candidates were targeted chiefly for name recognition. When voters went to the polls, they may have known generally, though often vaguely, what the major candidates stood for, but many of the minor candidates, usually the incumbents, had positions that never under- went the scrutiny that a candidate's views undergo when he or she runs against someone for mayor or for an assembly, state senate or congressional seat. As a result, the political process in San Francisco became stagnant. Incumbents usually won, and the issues of the day never got beyond political expediency. And since incumbents didn't represent any neighbor- hood or district, they didn't have a specific constitu- ency watching them. No wonder the electorate last November voted to change the system.

What prevails in this system are: a widely known name, such as Supervisor Ronald Pelosi (he was a Planning Commissioner) when he was a non-incumbent he won a seat on the board; the support of the mayor who appointed him. Superiors are in the case of Supervisor Robert Gonzales, and money from vested interests who realize they can buy a candidate whose only trump card in a supervisory election is the means to get his or her name implanted in the voter's mind.

Supervisory elections have never changed city hall. Under the old system, city hall underwent major changes only with a new mayor. Supervisory elections were really only pro-forma elections. The status quo remained entrenched.

In fact, the real disturbing thing about the city-wide election of at-large supervisors is that, in effect, not much of an election takes place. And what makes the restoration of that system even more frightening today is the new politics in San Francisco.

Although money will still be an important factor if the city-wide-at-large election system prevails, and the campaign spending limits have put a greater emphasis on strong political volunteer forces -- in other words, canvass workers.

One such political force developing in San Francisco is the Community Congress movement. Another is Delancey Street, the powerful rehabilitation center for those who are mentally and physically handicapped. It is trying to turn the port mess.

What a misreading of Proposition B! The measure, but it is seeking reforms to positively promote important reform that women shall be appointed to the CAO: LIFETIME TENURE - VS. SIX-YEAR TENURE

When the city charter, more than 40 years ago, created the position of Chief Administrative Officer, who was appointed by the mayor and the Board of Supervisors city department that were fertile areas for corruption. Such as the Public Works Department, the Health Department, the Finance Department and the Register of Voters.

The position of Chief Administrative Officer, under whom all those departments existed, was given lifetime tenure to make him politically independent--and thus not as susceptible to corruption--from elected politicians. But a lifetime appointment?

Lifetime tenure means the CAO would not change with every new mayor. What happens if a CAO is not corrupt but incompetent or a bad administrator?

What can be done about that?

Nothing. Removing a CAO is practically impossible. Changes have to be brought against him and a trial conducted by the Board of Supervisors, which confirms the CAO appointment.

A two-thirds vote of the supervisors would be required to remove a CAO. There is no alternative to a lifetime appointment that could hurt the city as well as it helps?

Proposition B is the only responsible alternative. A six-year term is long enough for a CAO to ac- quaint himself with the affairs of an entire department of the city and gain the respect of the department, thus giving a CAO some degree of independence. And a CAO, under Proposition B, could be responsive to the people.

To have an untouchable city official, the second most powerful official in city government who has control over the allocation of millions of dollars and important city services, would be unthinkable to most Americans. It should be unthinkable here too.

ELECTORAL REFORM continued

Key Community Congress leaders Nancy Walker, San Hester, Douglas Engmann, Sue Hestor and Dean Anderson founded themselves on either the Morrison Commission that recommended to Moscone appointments to various city commissions or on the Mayor's Yerba Buena Committee. And another Congress leader, Calvin Welch, was a paid consultant to the Mayor's Yerba Buena Committee.

Although he was able to label Mayor Moscone an extremist, he is a traditional liberal," notes Bar- bagelata, "the mayor nonetheless is beholden to the coalition, which contains the business community because it's able to deliver blocs of campaign workers and voters to a candidate."

In 1975, when the Congress leaders were organizing the Community Congress, they delivered those blocs of campaign workers and voters to Moscone in the mayoral race. And the mayor is counting on them to help him defeat Proposition B.

One argument the mayor is using against Proposition B is that since it is not a recall initiative (strange how sometimes it is, sometimes it is not a recall), it is a tool to interrupt an elected official's term of office with an electoral reform. Why not propose such reforms during the next mayoral election?

While Moscone claims that trying to cut short an elected official's term of office is an unprecedented move in San Francisco, he conveniently forgets the district attorney or supervisor in San Francisco never had a official's term of office is an unprecedented...
This is not to knock the Supes, present and past. They do a difficult job with little thanks, pay less and lots of abuse. Hence now. I don't know why anybody would WANT to be Supes even as a stepping stone. It's slippery. That we have so many first-rate hard workers in the job is a miracle. But don't be conned into thinking that Supervisors by district is some kind of new and/or "radical" approach to the political process.

In the words of T. Francis, "Prop. A is the only county in California that DOESN'T elect Supes from the district they live in. It's old hat, but the way we have been operating is even older and apparently just fine with those interests who believe they control the Board. They don't like Prop. T for that obvious reason."

PROP. B in Barbagelata is an entirely different well — proposition. You can get rational people to talk rationally about Prop. A but when B comes up, they fall strangely silent, or become evasive. "I don't know, what do YOU think" is the usual response to a question about Barbagelata's radical proposal which, in effect, would recall a mess of people — Mayor, CAO, D.A., Sheriff, Supes, Commr., and force them to run again in November. As Ex-Mayor George Christopher has put it, it is a vote of confidence, an old ploy in Britain but something new here.

The main reason people are hesitant about "discussing" Prop. B, I believe, is that they don't want to expose their innermost feelings about San Francisco today for the sake of gays and other minorities (if they are indeed minorities), or their in- stinctive responses to this city's world-renowned if not justified liberal, gay-friendly style — from street "artists" to racy layabouts and onward to hookers, pimps, hoods and the dope scene. Even the most upright San Francisco, in most cases, doesn't want to admit to being so as one. It is part of the picture, partially media-induced, that this city is liberal, permissive, accepting and anti-redneck. As the tolerant argues, over a martini at the club, we doesn't want to be known as one. It is part of the Barbary Coast, living side by side we have survived disasters and we are, in fact, one big if difficult family — San Franciscans All!

WHAT MIGHT HAVE washed once. Maybe. But even a cursory reading of history shows that this city is conservative at heart, dominated economically by reactionaries, and stupefied with such ugly chapters as "The Chinese Must Go!", vigilanti and hoodlums. The last word on B was by an elderly San Franciscan. He thought Barbary Coast was a bad idea, whether induced by envy or sincerity, will give the extras a chance to come out of the bushes. They can stand up and be counted in the anonymity of the voting booth — the lawnder types, the gay-haters, the anti-blacks, those who blame all the ills of the city on the bleeding hearts. It is classically, a liberal vs. conservative issue — with a few undertones. Much of Big Labor, opposed by Moscone's limp attitude in the City strike' will vote with Barbagelata, despite being an anti-labor record. You, the police, will vote for it. Them As Has (and would like to keep it) will vote of it. When I first heard about Prop. B, I thought Babs had made a mistake by including too many targets, but now I see his astuteness. If you don't like Moscone OR Hongisto OR a certain Supe. You will vote "Yes", you are no longer a martialis at heart, won't believe they "control" them at all.

The chill can be felt in the transit line. What we need is a small amount of your free time to hang signs, answer telephones, help out with your pick-up trucks and the distribution of literature.

If you are able to make a contribution of your time, however slight, please contact your station representatives and commit yourself or call our special "ELECTION LINE" and leave your name and number. Family and friends are welcome.

I am making a special plea for help from the sives and husbands of police officers. It is imperative that a married police campaign be started. I request that any police officer's spouse who has time to spend, either at home or at campaign headquarters, contact us immediately at 626-5999.

Thank you in advance for your support in this vital campaign.

---

**AN ACCOMPLISHMENT OF THE IMPOSSIBLE**

As you know, "Proposition B" has qualified for the ballot on August 1, 1977. In just six weeks, 31,000 signatures were collected, but this was just the beginning. The present administration, the Mayor, Police Chief and the Commission, have formulated procedures that will eliminate promotive positions to the rank of Chief and the Commission have formulated procedures that are required to make "B" a winner. In this vein, the Legislative Committee of the Police Officers' Association is asking for volunteers to assist in the campaign work.

This campaign is a "grassroots" effort and each one of us have at stake. We must insure its passage. The present administration, i.e., the Mayor, Police Chief and the Commission, have formulated procedures that will eliminate promotive positions to the rank of Sergeant and will ultimately lead to fewer Lieutenants and Captains.

For example, there's a proposal presently sitting up in Sacramento waiting to be funded that will establish the Para-Police Professional (civilian) to be incor- porated into the Police Department. They will fill the positions of Station Keeper, Patrol Wagon Driver's and "Report" cars. They will also receive training in the use of firearms. The cost for this program is approximately $2.5 million.

There are many other issues involved such as un- warranted mass transfers, adoption of radical initiated proposale and much much more.

Therefore, it is imperative that our people "get in-

Herman Caen was one of the most influential writers in San Francisco. He is the purveyor of "3-dot" journalism and writes a feature column for the S.F. Chronicle on the social and political life of San Francisco, tinged with sarcasm and humor.

**HERB CAEN**

**View From the Middle**

NOW THAT the Duke County Follies are behind us, so to speak, we may look forward with trepidation to the next dry-o-matic election, right here in Baghdad-by-the-Gay. That would be on August 2, when San Francisco's wildly assorted voters go to the polls to face the simple complexities of Propositions A and B. whose initials, you will note with gaops of surprise, anger or bliss, are those of Anita Bryant. There, however, the connection ends.

San Francisco is not Miami (all rise to shout "awewright!!") and the issues are not the same, although gay-connected to a degree. Proposition A varied. and too many segments have never had a picture, partially media-induced. That this city is Moscone's backing.

"Prop. A" is Barbagelata is an entirely different well — proposition. You can get rational people to talk rationally about Prop. A but when B comes up, they fall strangely silent, or become evasive. "I don't know, what do YOU think" is the usual response to a question about Barbagelata's radical proposal which, in effect, would recall a mess of people — Mayor, CAO, D.A., Sheriff, Supes, Commr., and force them to run again in November. As Ex-Mayor George Christopher has put it, it is a vote of confidence, an old ploy in Britain but something new here.

The main reason people are hesitant about "discussing" Prop. B, I believe, is that they don't want to expose their innermost feelings about San Francisco today for the sake of gays and other minorities (if they are indeed minorities), or their in- stinctive responses to this city's world-renowned if not justified liberal, gay-friendly style — from street "artists" to racy layabouts and onward to hookers, pimps, hoods and the dope scene. Even the most upright San Francisco, in most cases, doesn't want to admit to being so as one. It is part of the picture, partially media-induced, that this city is liberal, permissive, accepting and anti-redneck. As the tolerant argues, over a martini at the club, we doesn't want to be known as one. It is part of the Barbary Coast, living side by side we have survived disasters and we are, in fact, one big if difficult family — San Franciscans All!

WHAT MIGHT HAVE washed once. Maybe. But even a cursory reading of history shows that this city is conservative at heart, dominated economically by reactionaries, and stupefied with such ugly chapters as "The Chinese Must Go!", vigilanti and hoodlums. The last word on B was by an elderly San Franciscan. He thought Barbary Coast was a bad idea, whether induced by envy or sincerity, will give the extras a chance to come out of the bushes. They can stand up and be counted in the anonymity of the voting booth — the lawnder types, the gay-haters, the anti-blacks, those who blame all the ills of the city on the bleeding hearts. It is classically, a liberal vs. conservative issue — with a few undertones. Much of Big Labor, opposed by Moscone's limp attitude in the City strike' will vote with Barbagelata, despite being an anti-labor record. You, the police, will vote for it. Them As Has (and would like to keep it) will vote of it. When I first heard about Prop. B, I thought Babs had made a mistake by including too many targets, but now I see his astuteness. If you don't like Moscone OR Hongisto OR a certain Supe. You will vote "Yes", you are no longer a martialis at heart, won't believe they "control" them at all.

The chill can be felt in the transit line. What we need is a small amount of your free time to hang signs, answer telephones, help out with your pick-up trucks and the distribution of literature.

If you are able to make a contribution of your time, however slight, please contact your station representatives and commit yourself or call our special "ELECTION LINE" and leave your name and number. Family and friends are welcome.

I am making a special plea for help from the sives and husbands of police officers. It is imperative that a married police campaign be started. I request that any police officer's spouse who has time to spend, either at home or at campaign headquarters, contact us immediately at 626-5999.

Thank you in advance for your support in this vital campaign.

**ELECTION LINE**

**626-5999**

**CUNNINGHAM MOVING & STORAGE**

**LOCAL - LONG DISTANCE - INTERNATIONAL**

**SENSIBLE FREE ESTIMATES LICENSED & INSURED**

S.F. AGENT FOR SMYTH VAN LINES

**DAN DRISCOLL, SFPD RETIRED**

REGIONAL SALES MANAGER

**3240 - THIRD STREET AT ARMY ST. SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94124 PHONE: 824-0615**

**CSE INSURANCE**

**AUTO • FIRE • HOMEOWNERS**

For information and a rate quote, in San Rafael & S.F. 479-4886

**POLLARD & SONS MORTUARY**

**BURIAL INS. - PRE-ARRANGEMENTS - SHIPING - CREMATIONS ARRANGED**

**ONE OF SAN FRANCISCO'S OLDEST BLACK MORTUARIES**

2296 SUFFER (Corner Scott & Sutter) S.F.
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**San Francisco Chronicle, June 12, 1977**
The San Francisco Police Activities League (PAL) will hold their annual Police Olympics from August 15 through 27. The competition will feature various events such as Archery, Boxing, Cycling, Horsemanship, Karate, Judo, Table Tennis, Track & Field, and Volleyball. Interested sworn members can contact Joe Mollo at Police Gym, Ext. 3130, for further information or to volunteer as an instructor for a youth sailing program. The PAL will provide six (6) Chipmunk sailing boats for the instruction at Lake Merced.

**NEW WANT AD SERVICE**

At the suggestion of several members, the POLICEMAN is pleased to present space in the traditional Want Ad forum to let readers of this newspaper exchange merchandise, for a price. The rate is 50 cents a line for private parties only. Ads submitted by the 6th of each month will appear in that month's edition of the POLICEMAN.

**AUTO PARTS**

**KRAY'S**

621-3311

* MACHINE SHOP SERVICE
* FOR U.S. AND IMPORT ENGINES
* SATURDAY SHOPPING 9-3

TELEPHONE PRICE QUOTES
Buy your Domestic and Imported parts at 160-7th STREET

**AUTO PARTS**

**THE FAMILY OWNED TRADITION IN SAN FRANCISCO FOR 25 YEARS...
EVERYONE WELCOME!**

- ELECTRONIC IGNITIONS
- LIFETIME SHADES
- CLUTCHES AS NEW
- IMPORT CARBURETORS

**SFPOA Insurance Administrators**

Insurance by payroll deduction available
**LIFE - DISABILITY - HEALTH - DENTAL DIVISION**
2151 - 19th Avenue S.F. 94116 566-2121

Auto - Homeowners' - Commercial Division
1430 Taraval Street S.F. 94116 731-8455

We want to serve you
Bill Healy, Ray Peterson, Frank Kalafate

**HEALY INSURANCE AGENCY, INC.**

**SPORTS REPORT**

by Sheila Mullen

The 1977 Police Olympics will be hosted by the San Francisco Police Department. July 27 through 31. Actual competition should start on the 27th. Listed below are the athletic events scheduled for San Francisco. Please note we have listed the co-ordinators for each event. If further information is desired, please contact the co-ordinators. Most events have the following divisions: OPEN-MASTERS-GOLDEN MASTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Co-ordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Archery</td>
<td>Sat-Sun</td>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>Joe Mollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Wrestling</td>
<td>Thurs</td>
<td>Co. D</td>
<td>Bob McAllister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badminton</td>
<td>Thurs</td>
<td>Co. D</td>
<td>Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>Wed-Sun</td>
<td>CWD</td>
<td>Jim Steiger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billiards</td>
<td>Wed-Fri</td>
<td>Co. A</td>
<td>Ora Guenther</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling</td>
<td>Wed-Sat</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>Lou Liguori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boxing</td>
<td>Wed-Fri</td>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>Joe Mollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>Hdgs. Co.</td>
<td>Mark Hurley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>Thurs</td>
<td>Gym</td>
<td>Joe Mollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diving</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>Co. G</td>
<td>Bill Weiger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf</td>
<td>Wed-Fri</td>
<td>Co. A. Acad.</td>
<td>Dave Alves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS OF 1977 SPRING INDUSTRIAL GOLF TOURNAMENT AT HARDING GOLF COURSE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Prize Money</th>
<th>Winner (Affiliation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Championship Flight (10-Handicap)</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>Roger Foge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Net</td>
<td>$76</td>
<td>Bob Judson, Crocker Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Prize Money</th>
<th>Winner (Affiliation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Flight (11-20 Handicap)</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>G. Young, Bechtel Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Net</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>Michael Torres, Federal Reserve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Prize Money</th>
<th>Winner (Affiliation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Net</td>
<td>$81</td>
<td>A. C. Austin, United Air Lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEWLY LICENSED BOATS**

The Hayward Police Association and the Island Yacht Club are sponsoring a one day sailboat regatta on August 27, 1977, It will be held at the Berkeley Yacht Club, and is restricted to sworn peace officers skippering fixed keel boats either owned or chartered. Interested sworn members can contact the following for further information:

- Definit
- John H. Gore, San Francisco Police Dept.
- Chris F. Smith, Zellerbach Paper
- Bob Freschi, Foremost Foods
- Jim Bogan, Metro Life
- Ermie Regalia, Metro Life
- Bob Healy, Foremost Foods

**SAILBOAT REGATTA**

The Hayward Police Association and the Island Yacht Club are sponsoring a one day sailboat regatta on August 27, 1977. It will be held at the Berkeley Yacht Club, and is restricted to sworn peace officers skippering fixed keel boats either owned or chartered. Interested sworn members can contact the following for further information:

- Definit
- John H. Gore, San Francisco Police Dept.
- Chris F. Smith, Zellerbach Paper
- Bob Freschi, Foremost Foods
- Jim Bogan, Metro Life
- Ermie Regalia, Metro Life
- Bob Healy, Foremost Foods
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- Page 10
- San Francisco Police Activities League (PAL) is presently setting up the 1977 Summer Softball League for girls. Major League Division is for girls who are 12, 13 and 14 years of age. The age cut-off date is August 1, 1977. Coaches and teams interested in participating in this softball league are invited to contact the PAL at 2475 Greenwich Street or phone 567-3215.
POLICE OLYMPIC BOWLING

The qualifying rounds for this year’s Police Olympic Bowling Teams were completed May 28th. Without a doubt this year’s competition was the most difficult of any held in past memory. It took a 174 average to qualify for the 15th spot. Twenty-four games were bowled during this competition.

High Series for 6 games: High Game:

Bill Thiffault 1207 Lou Ligouri 248
Gary Bertucci 1194 Gary Bertucci 244
Ken Waite 1192 Ed Castigliano 243

The bowlers representing the S.F.P.D. at Santa Ana this year are as follows: To find their average divide their total pinnage by 24 games.

1st Team
Ken Waite 4593
Gary Bertucci 4550
Mike Farrell 4469
Rich Weidinger 4463
Sam Moore 4403

2nd Team
Bill Thiffault 4503
Gary Bertucci 4550
Vic Weidt 4450
Wally Jackson 4337
Bill Wilson 4288

1st Alternate
Vic Bertocci 4260
Frank Petuya 4246
Ed Castigliano 4087
Ronnie Martinez 4087
Lou Ligouri 4178

FIRST INVITATIONAL TOURNAMENT SUCCESS

by Jim Diegan

The first S.F.P.A.L. Invitational Basketball Tournament was a success. The tournament was held at Kezar Pavilion and hosted eight teams from Northern and Southern California. There were three fire teams — Oakland Fire, S. F. Fire 1 and 2 and five police teams — S.F.P.D., S.F.I.A.P.D., Berkeley, Long Beach and L.A.P.D.

The San Francisco Fire Department #1 Team played outstanding basketball, winning the tournament by beating their opponents handily. They placed several players on the All Tournament Team. The S.F.P.D. played well enough to finish second and also placed several players on the All Tournament Team. They were engaged in a very exciting semi-final game against our Los Angeles counterparts, winning 104 - 90. Gerald Calgaro played an outstanding game, scoring 29 points.

The L.A.P.D. — after losing in the semi-final game came back to capture third place by beating the 1976 California Police Olympic Champions — Berkeley P.D.

A special thanks to the San Francisco P.O.A. for their kind donation to assist us with our hospitality room. Also a "Special Thanks" to all the people that pitched in and gave a hand. Our bartenders — Dan Gardner, Bob Foley, George Freehy — proud proprietor of the Shanty at 10th and Mission, who has pitched in from the start, assisted with our hospitality room. Bob Pots score keeper, Joe Curtin, John O’Connell. Bob Barnes, Willy Purkin who all kept score and worked the clock, also helping judge the All Tournament Team.

The qualifying rounds for this year’s Police Olympic Barbell — for the third straight year — were held in the gym at Jefferson High School, San Francisco.

The competition was open to all Police Officers and Police Personnel.

On Wednesday, May 25, 1977, seventy-nine guys and two guest players golfed at Half Moon Bay Golf Links. We were all impressed by what a nice course this is, even though as some said "I didn’t do too well." The weather was a little windy but not nearly as bad as it sometimes gets.

Tom Gordon had the days low round of 76, and it was especially good as he even had two balls out of bounds. Even Lammers had 73 at Lakeside but was a guest from the S. F. Fire Department.

Low net winners were Gary Bertucci with a 94 minus 28 net 66. Second was Pete Alarcon with a 92 minus 31 net 69.

Flying winners were: first, Even Lammers; second, Dick Klapp; third, Frank Watson; and fourth, Rene Aufort.

As far as the hole-in-one competition, I must apologize for picking such a difficult hole. The winner was another guest, Joe DiMaggio who was 34’5” from the hole which is probably the furthest winning distance since the club began. The second place went to Vic Rykoff at 42’7” and third place went to Lee Clark at 48’5”. Lee, I think, was trying to prove something to me because of the rotten comment I made in last month’s column about skulling his winning shot. Sorry Lee.

In the third, a third flight (five for both) who have not as yet received a handicap and non-members — the winners were: first, Tom Gordon; second, Mike Farrell; third, Pete Buckley; fourth, George Zietnick; and fifth, John O’Connell.

The club’s membership reached an all time high (four years) of one hundred and thirty members. Any S. F. Police officer either active or retired is eligible. If interested, call either me as below or Lt. Vic Macia at S-555-1236.

Jerry Cassidy, Secretary S. F. Police Golf Club
Co K E0
Jolo M/C Rm 150
Hall of Justice (553-1336) or
227 San Martin Dr.
Novato (987-0276)

Two San Francisco Firemen teach a certified course in scuba diving at Jefferson High School’s Night Adult Division in Daly City. It is an 8-week course. Classes will be held on Mon. & Wed. nights from 7 P.M. to 10 P.M. Cost: $60.00. All equipment supplied for pool use. Sign-up June 20 or June 22. For further information: Capt. Steve Parrott, 356-1374.

S.P.F.D. DEFEATS GAYS

by Al Casciato

In a fund raiser for the Special Olympics, the San Francisco Police Athletes Club Basketball team made a dramatic come from behind effort to defeat the Gay Community All Stars, 100 to 85.

An estimated of as many as 1,200 people watched the event as Jeff Barker. Co. F led the police department from a 15 point deficit in the third quarter to a 15 point victory margin at the end.

Jerry Calgaro and Ed Rodriguez with 19 and 18 points respectively, assisted Barker’s 21 (game high) in a fine team effort against the Gay team which was loaded with former college ballplayers, including a 7’1” former USF star.

Approximately 56,500 was raised for the Mentally Retarded Children who participate in the Special Olympics. They were the true winners in this event.

In a free throw contest between the players of both teams, Ed Rodriguez of Co. A won the Swish Award in a sudden death playoff. Greg Cloney of Co. A served as the police master of ceremonies and delivered his usual amount of zingers to everyone present. need I say more.

THE POLICEMAN URGES YOU TO SUPPORT OUR ADVERTISERS.

GET IN SHAPE FOR SUMMER 2 for 1 Special - 12 months $37.50

Olympic Barbell — $269.00

Weight Lifting Belts — $18.50

Sunset Health Studio & Natural Food Store

2740 Irving St.
San Francisco, Ca.
566-7086

SOFTBALL LEAGUE FINAL STANDINGS

by Dave Maron

PLAYOFF REVIEW

Inspectors Bureau — The surprise team of the year, led by veterans Frank Talton, Gary Lemos, Bob Huegle and helped along by newcomers Brad Nicholson and Tom Bruton this winning combination led to a first place finish. Mike O’Brien gives them the long ball threat.

Insleeble — Something strange happened to Insleeble on the way to the playoffs this year, they lost a couple of ball games. The result has produced a hungry ball team. The hitting is there led by Dave Maron, Kevin Hicks, and Bob VanDis. Bob Crecot is playing a fine third base with Charlie Mahoney and Jack Minkel turning in key double plays. Dan Ferrelit leads a fine group of outfielders.

Airport Police — An impressive team at bat and on the field. Andy Fracchia, Pat Wetteman and Mike Faust are able to earn a berth to this year’s playoff contest. They lost to Park Station in last year’s championship game and will be out to even the score.

Park — With an infield anchored by Tom O’Connell, Jeff Barker and Mark Porto, coupled with the pitching of Roger Farrell, last year’s champion will be tough to beat. Outfielders Bob Barnes and Joe Curtin not only field but are clutch batters. The off field hitting of Barker and Porto should give other team outfielders trouble.

Southeast — For too many years Janey Amlot and Frank Walker have hoped for the right combination of players to put them over the top. This year looks like they got their wish. Dave Herman, Al McCana, Mike Keyes, John Payne and also have made Southeast a top playoff contender. The unfortunate loss of Dave Herman for the playoffs will be felt.

Central #1 — The key to this team’s success seems to be the pitching of Nick Eterovich and the clutch hitting of Walt Scott, Ed Rodriguez and Joe Allegro. Despite the loss of key players through pressure transfers, their winning record indicates they are for real.

WEIGHT LIFTING BELTS - $18.50

SUNSET HEALTH STUDIO & NATURAL FOOD STORE

2740 IRVING ST.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA.
566-7086

GET IN SHAPE FOR SUMMER 2 for 1 Special - 12 months $37.50

Olympic Barbell — $269.00

Weight Lifting Belts — $18.50
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On June 2, 1977, a special meeting of the Police Liaison Committee to the Human Rights Commission convened with only one item on the agenda: A protest of the White Panther’s, through Starr Posner and Ronald Landsberg, to have the HRC endorse the Panthers “Political campaign” to secure the release of their “comrades in arms”, Tom Stevens and Terry Phillips.

Stevens and Phillips were the two White Panthers who were convicted of assault with a deadly weapon on Police Officers Jerry DeFilippo and Frank Harrington, both of whom were assigned to Park Station at the time of the assault.

The Police Liaison Committee heard testimony of the proposed endorsement for release and after much discussion, they voted 3-2 in favor of the CONS and forwarded that recommendation to the HRC for their consideration. But the local papers failed to print the make-up of the committee and the way each individual voted. Present at the hearing were John Morrissey, Chairman of the HRC, John Oppenheim, Public Defenders Office, Ken Babb, Director of the OR Project, Ken Wheeler, Police Officers Association Representative, and Michael Seybold. Aust. Legal Officer, Seybold and Wheeler both voted against the endorsement, while the remaining three took the position of the White Panther Party, that the CONS should be released from jail.

WAS THERE POLITICAL PRESSURE TO SECURE THIS ENDORSEMENT? Although I don’t have any direct knowledge that pressure had been placed upon any one individual or group, it certainly is consistent with past practices. After Phillips and Stevens were convicted, the HRC, by resolution, forwarded a request to the Police Commission for a hearing and an investigation to determine the facts of the case. The commission ultimately denied that request on the grounds that the case had been investigated, that the defendants had been convicted of the charge(s) and that the commission was satisfied with the results. So the Panther’s went back to the HRC for more help and thus, the Police Liaison special agenda item.

During the course of events that followed the conviction through, the White Panthers apparently had communication with the Mayor and on January 14, 1977, the Mayor sent Mr. Howard Way, Chairman of the California Adult Authority a three-page letter of support for Stevens and Phillips. Department of Corrections numbers B-65678 and B-65731 respectively.